

The Dead Who Still Speak: Revelation 6:9-11, African Time, Ancestral Memory, and Eschatological Justice

Michael Blythe

Africa Nazarene University, Nairobi, Kenya; Nations University, New Orleans, Louisiana, United States.

Corresponding author: mblythe@anu.ac.ke

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62154/qjrts.2026.07.01014>

Abstract

This article rereads Revelation 6:9-11 through African perspectives on time, ancestral memory, and eschatological justice. The fifth seal depicts the slain witnesses under the altar crying, "How long?" as they await divine judgment and vindication. Rather than reading the passage primarily as a datum for the intermediate state or as part of a future eschatological timetable, this article argues that Revelation imagines the faithful dead as morally present within the community's eschatological consciousness. Their blood has not become past; their testimony continues to press history toward divine justice. By placing social-scientific accounts of ancient Mediterranean temporality in conversation with African concepts of event-time, the living-dead, and ancestor veneration, the article shows that Revelation 6:9-11 resists certain modern Western tendencies to individualize, abstract, or postpone eschatological hope. African ancestral categories are used analogically rather than identically: the martyrs are not ancestors in a full traditional African sense, nor do they displace Christ's mediation. Nevertheless, African phenomenological accounts of the dead's continuing relational and moral presence help illuminate the passage's claim that death does not silence witness. The article concludes that Revelation 6:9-11 offers a communal, memory-bearing, and justice-oriented eschatology in which God's future is summoned by the unfinished claims of the dead.

Keywords: Apocalyptic Delay, Martyrdom, Public Vindication, Social-Scientific Criticism, Testimony, White Robes.

Introduction

Revelation 6:9-11 gathers death, witness, delay, judgment, vindication, memory, and the future of God into a single vision. John sees beneath the altar the psychai of those slain because of the word of God and the testimony they held. They cry, "How long, Sovereign Lord, holy and true, until you judge and vindicate our blood from those who dwell upon the earth?" They receive white robes and are told to rest a little while longer, until the fullness of their fellow servants and siblings is complete. The scene is therefore juridical, liturgical, temporal, communal, and political. The slain wait, but their waiting is not mute; they rest, but their rest does not erase the claim of their blood.¹

¹ The article uses an author's working translation of Revelation 6:9-11. The translation emphasizes the judicial and temporal force of the passage rather than resolving every lexical question concerning psyche, blood, altar imagery, or the precise referent of "those who dwell upon the earth."

Major commentaries confirm the passage's density. Interpreters debate the altar's identity, the meaning of *psychai*, the relation of soul and blood-life imagery, the identity of the martyrs, the force of the white robes, and whether the delay concerns a predetermined number of martyrs or the completion of witness (Aune, 1998; Beale, 1999; Blount, 2013; Fee, 2011; Keener, 1999; Koester, 2014; Leithart, 2018; Malina & Pilch, 2000; Mounce, 1997; Schreiner, 2023; Smalley, 2005; Witherington, 2003). These disagreements are not incidental. They show that Revelation 6:9-11 is precisely the kind of text in which sacrificial, juridical, temporal, and communal meanings converge. Yet they also converge at one decisive point: the slain are not morally absent. However, one resolves the exegetical questions, Revelation keeps the dead before God as witnesses whose blood presses for judgment.

Recent work on Revelation's hope, theology of history, martyrdom, and emotional engagement likewise confirms that the book's visions are not detached from contexts of persecution, anguish, and contested witness (Mihoc, 2023; Moloney, 2019; Mosoiu, 2019; Seal, 2017).

The designation "certain modern Western readings" refers here to four representative tendencies rather than to Western Revelation scholarship as a whole: readings centered on the intermediate state, the conscious existence of the soul after death, the sequence of end-time events, or discomfort with divine vengeance. These questions are not insignificant. Indeed, the passage inevitably touches them. Yet they can narrow the vision if they detach the martyrs from the moral pressure they exert upon the present. When the passage becomes chiefly a proof text for postmortem ontology or a piece in an eschatological timetable, the cry of the dead can become subordinate to the curiosity of the living. The text then answers questions the modern reader already brought, while its own question - "How long?" - remains underheard.

This article proposes that Revelation 6:9-11 can be heard differently when placed in conversation with social-scientific accounts of ancient Mediterranean temporality and selected African perspectives on time, the living-dead, ancestor veneration, and eschatological hope. Here "African" functions as a comparative theological category, not as a claim about all African societies, languages, or churches. The point is not to collapse the biblical world into an African world or to posit a single African view of time. It is more precise: ancient Mediterranean social worlds and many African temporal orientations both resist reducing time to abstract chronology. They imagine time as socially saturated, event-shaped, memory-bearing, and morally charged. Such a frame helps readers see that Revelation's martyrs are righteous dead whose unresolved suffering keeps history morally open before God.²

African ancestor veneration sharpens this reading when approached phenomenologically and analogically. In many African lifeworlds, the dead are not simply absent. They may remain remembered, relationally significant, morally authoritative, and implicated in the

² The term "African" is used here as a broad comparative-theological category while recognizing that African societies, languages, churches, and religious worlds are internally diverse. The argument therefore speaks of African perspectives and temporal orientations rather than a singular African essence.

life of the community. The analogy is controlled by both correspondence and disanalogy: it concerns continuing moral presence, communal memory, and an unfinished claim for justice, but not genealogical kinship, cultic veneration, independent mediation, or any displacement of Christ. This article does not argue that Revelation 6:9-11 teaches ancestor veneration, nor that the martyrs are ancestors in a full traditional African sense. They are not ritually maintained by the living, propitiated, or placed in competition with Christ's mediation. Nevertheless, African ancestral memory helps illuminate a feature of Revelation that modern Western readers may miss: death has not erased the martyrs' social and moral presence. Their significance is grounded in God's remembrance and in their witness, not in cultic mediation.

Methodologically, this article is textual-theoretical and comparative. It proceeds by close reading of Revelation 6:9-11, engagement with major commentary traditions, social-scientific reflection on ancient temporality, African theological reflection on time and eschatology, and phenomenological description of ancestral memory. It does not offer empirical fieldwork, and "African time" functions here as shorthand for selected African philosophical and theological accounts of temporality, not as the name of a uniform trans-African cultural essence. Instead, it uses selected African temporal and ancestral categories as critical conversation partners for rereading the fifth seal while acknowledging the internal diversity of African societies, churches, and religious worlds. References to the wider Apocalypse are used only where they clarify the immediate passage.

This article contributes to Revelation studies by reframing the fifth seal as an eschatological memory scene rather than chiefly an intermediate-state text. It contributes to African biblical hermeneutics by showing how African time and ancestral memory may illuminate an apocalyptic text without collapsing Christian martyr witness into ancestor veneration. It contributes to eschatological discourse by arguing that divine futurity is summoned by unresolved moral presence. The comparative lens does not replace historical exegesis; it makes visible the passage's social and moral temporality, in which the dead remain participants in the community's unfinished demand for divine justice. Its central claim is that Revelation 6:9-11 presents the faithful dead not as absent souls waiting passively for a distant future, but as morally present witnesses whose cry keeps injustice unresolved before God and the suffering community. The article names this pressure the unfinished claims of the dead. Read through social-scientific accounts of ancient Mediterranean temporality and African perspectives on event-time, the living-dead, and ancestral memory, the passage yields a communal and justice-oriented eschatology. The article thus shifts attention from chronology alone to memory, from individual destiny to communal witness, and from postponed consolation to justice that remains before God.

Main Text

Revelation 6:9-11 and the Dead Who Still Speak

Revelation 6:9-11 belongs to the opening of the seven seals. The first four seals disclose conquest, violence, scarcity, and death; the sixth seal will unveil cosmic upheaval and the

terror of those who recognize that the day of wrath has come. Between these movements stands the fifth seal. Its pattern changes. There is no horse, no rider, and no summons from one of the living creatures. Fee (2011) rightly observes that the fifth seal discloses not another angelic decree of suffering from the throne room but a human response to suffering. The transition is therefore interpretively important. John is not simply shown what history does to the world; he is shown what the world has done to the witnesses of God and what their deaths mean before heaven.

The grammar of the scene matters. John does not say that he heard an idea, remembered a doctrine, or inferred a destiny for the righteous dead. He says, "I saw." Revelation's apocalyptic imagination makes visible what ordinary history hides. The world above may see only defeated bodies, condemned criminals, crushed dissenters, or religious troublemakers; the seer is shown lives gathered beneath the altar. The violence that killed them does not possess the final power of interpretation. The fifth seal therefore does not merely console the reader. It relocates martyrdom within divine presence and makes the dead audible.

The location "under the altar" is crucial and contested. Witherington (2003) notes the Jewish tradition attributed to Rabbi Akiba in which burial in the Holy Land is likened to being buried beneath the altar. Aune (1998) supplies a broader matrix of traditions concerning souls under the throne of glory, heavenly storehouses of souls, tomb/altar associations, and the heavenly altar in Jewish and Christian texts. Mounce (1997), Koester (2014), and Schreiner (2023) emphasize that the altar evokes sacrifice because blood was poured at the base of the altar. Beale (1999) stresses the altar's association with sacrifice, prayer, and divine protection, while Blount (2013) resists making sacrifice the dominant feature and argues that altar imagery especially characterizes God's justice and judgment. Smalley (2005) sees the earthly and heavenly altars beginning to merge, so that martyrdom on earth is simultaneously offered in heaven. Koester (2014) and Schreiner (2023) offer the simplest textual judgment: Revelation envisions one heavenly altar with multiple functions, so the interpreter need not decide rigidly between burnt-offering and incense imagery.³

This range of readings strengthens rather than weakens the present argument. Whether the altar is approached through sacrificial blood, prayer, refuge, divine protection, heavenly temple cosmology, or public judgment, it marks the martyrs' deaths as theologically present before God. Their deaths are not merely historical events that happened on earth and then receded into memory. They are held at the point where worship, sacrifice, prayer, and judgment meet. The witnesses have been slaughtered, but their deaths are not swallowed by the violence that killed them. Revelation unveils history from the altar side of reality, where slain faithfulness is nearer to truth than the power that kills.

The martyrs' location also prevents an overly private reading of the scene. They are not pictured simply as individuals who have gone to a better place. They are located where

³ The article deliberately treats the altar as an apocalyptic symbol whose meanings are cumulative rather than mutually exclusive. The sacrificial base of the altar, the place of prayer, the site of refuge, the heavenly temple, and the court of divine justice all contribute to the scene. Malina and Pilch's astral reading is noted as a social-scientific alternative, but it is not adopted as controlling because the temple/altar symbolism in Revelation itself is sufficient for the argument.

blood can no longer be hidden. Koester (2014) explicitly notes that sacrificial imagery reverses common perceptions of the martyrs' deaths: what might appear pointless before their enemies is received by God as faithful witness. Mounce (1997) likewise reads the altar as a way of saying that their earthly deaths are, from God's perspective, sacrifices on the altar of heaven. Yet Koester is right to warn that the martyrs' deaths are not atoning in the same way as Christ's. Revelation reserves redemption and cleansing for the blood of the Lamb (1:5; 5:9; 7:14). The martyrs do not redeem. They witness. Their blood triumphs over evil as testimony, not as a substitute for Christ's blood.

The identity of the dead is equally significant. They are slain "because of the word of God and because of the testimony they held." The language binds death to witness. In Revelation, testimony is not merely verbal report. It is costly allegiance. Fee (2011) emphasizes that the martyrs have died because of their loyalty to the word of God and their maintained testimony. Schreiner (2023) reads the word of God and testimony as bound to the gospel of Christ and the witness to Jesus. Smalley (2005) argues that Christian martyrs are primarily in view, though he recognizes the wider Jewish martyrological background. Leithart (2018) presses the company more widely, including Abel and Zechariah among the slain righteous. Beale (1999) interprets the group more inclusively still, as the broader company of saints who suffer faithfully, whether or not each has undergone literal execution. These differences matter, but they do not overturn the article's claim. However broadly or narrowly the group is drawn, Revelation's dead are witnesses. Their death has meaning because it is tied to fidelity.

The verb used for their death, *sphazō*, gives the scene sacrificial and christological resonance. The martyrs are "slain" as the Lamb is slain (5:6, 9, 12; 6:9). Schreiner (2023) and Fee (2011) both underline this connection: the slaughtered witnesses follow the path of the slaughtered Lamb. The connection should not be flattened into equivalence. The Lamb's death is redemptive in a unique sense. But Revelation's grammar of victory is participatory: those who belong to the Lamb bear witness in a world that resists his lordship, and that witness may take the form of death. The martyrs are therefore not mere victims. Their deaths are the visible cost of allegiance in a world where the lordship of Christ collides with imperial and idolatrous claims.

The term often translated "souls" requires careful handling. The Greek phrase *tas psychas* has often been used to support conscious existence after death, and Aune (1998) represents the strong version of that reading by treating *psychē* here and in 20:4 as the essence that transcends earthly death. Schreiner (2023) also reads the passage as referring to the intermediate state before bodily resurrection. Koester (2014), however, is more cautious: Revelation pictures the martyrs' souls between death and resurrection but refrains from calling the soul immortal. Mounce (1997) connects the souls under the altar with the Levitical idea that the blood contains the life or soul of the flesh. Witherington (2003) warns against rendering *psychē* simply as "soul" if that suggests a later dualistic concept rather than the living person or personality. Blount (2013) maps John's uses of "soul" across Revelation and describes the martyrs as a resistant "soul force" whose redressed existence

confronts Rome. Lichtenwalter (2015) and Kuryliak and Kuryliak (2025) are therefore best used as part of this wider spectrum, not as controlling authorities. The present article deliberately refuses to make the *psychai* debate bear more weight than the text requires. A disembodied-soul reading, a blood-life reading, and a resistant-witness reading differ anthropologically, but each leaves the martyrs present before God and consequential for history. The argument can therefore stand whether *psychai* are rendered “souls,” “lives,” or understood through blood-life symbolism: Revelation depicts the faithful dead as personally, corporately, and morally significant after death.⁴

The martyrs cry “with a loud voice.” This loudness matters. The dead are not atmospheric symbols. They speak. Their speech is not private. It is liturgical and judicial. Aune (1998) notes the juridical overtones of the verb for crying out, and Keener (1999) frames the martyrs as plaintiffs who had been condemned in human courts and now seek vindication in God’s court. Mounce (1997) likewise argues that the issue is not the martyrs’ relation to their accusers but the validity of their faith. The dead therefore do not merely ask to feel better. They ask for a public reversal of false judgment. Earthly courts declared them disposable; the heavenly court must declare them faithful.

The address to God as *despotēs*, “Sovereign Master,” is also theologically loaded. Aune (1998), Blount (2013), and Koester (2014) note that the term could be used in relation to imperial authority. Blount’s point is especially suggestive: by directing the title to God, the martyrs rhetorically deny Caesar’s final mastery even as they lament. Their speech is therefore still witness. The martyrs do not address an ancestral mediator, tribal patron, or avenging spirit. They appeal to the holy and true Master whose justice defines reality. Their cry assumes that if God is holy and true, the blood of the righteous cannot remain unanswered.

This is why the verbs “judge” and “vindicate” or “avenge” must be heard juridically before they are heard emotionally. Aune (1998), Beale (1999), Mounce (1997), Smalley (2005), Schreiner (2023), and Keener (1999) all place the cry within the biblical and Jewish tradition of God’s vindication of wronged blood. The request is not private revenge. It is public justice. The martyrs are asking God to make a public distinction between truthful witness and murderous falsehood, between the world’s condemnation and God’s judgment.

The object of judgment is “those who dwell on the earth.” This expression is not a neutral description of humanity. Mounce (1997), Aune (1998), Schreiner (2023), and Smalley (2005) all note that Revelation uses the phrase as a semi-technical designation for those aligned with the world’s hostile order. The earth-dwellers rejoice over the witnesses’ death, worship the beast, participate in Babylon’s intoxication, and lack the heavenly orientation of those who follow the Lamb. The martyrs’ cry is therefore not a generic complaint against mortality. It is a judicial protest against a world-system that murders witness and calls its violence normal.

⁴ This is why the article avoids resting its thesis on a single translation of *psychai*. If *psychai* means disembodied souls, the slain dead remain morally present. If it means lives or blood-life, the same claim becomes even more vivid. Either way, the passage portrays the dead as present to God and consequential for history.

The white robes given to the martyrs do not silence them by sentimental consolation. Aune (1998), Beale (1999), Koester (2014), Mounce (1997), Schreiner (2023), and Smalley (2005) agree that the robes should not be identified simplistically with resurrection bodies. They are better understood as signs of honor, purity, blessedness, victory, or divine recognition. Beale (1999) stresses that the robe announces the saints' purity and annuls the world's guilty verdict. Blount (2013) interprets the dazzling robe actively, as a symbol of conquering witness. Koester (2014) emphasizes honor and purity. Schreiner (2023) says the robe teaches that the martyrs' death is not divine displeasure but divine commendation. In each case, the robe is not the end of the cry; it is God's provisional recognition that the dead were right.

That recognition is crucial for the African reading that follows. The dead in Revelation 6 are not abandoned to a realm of forgetfulness. Nor are they mere specimens in a metaphysical debate. They are remembered, robed, heard, and told to rest. They remain part of the moral community of God's people. Revelation's altar scene therefore provides the textual ground for the article's central claim: the dead still speak because God does not allow their blood to become morally past.

"How Long?" Time, Delay, and Eschatological Pressure

The phrase "How long?" is the temporal center of Revelation 6:9-11. It is not an abstract inquiry into eschatological scheduling. It is the cry of violated time. The dead do not ask, "Where are we?" or "What is the metaphysical status of our souls?" They ask how long the holy and true Master will allow their blood to remain unvindicated. For them, time is not measured first by the clock but by the interval between wrongful death and divine justice. The phrase belongs to the biblical language of lament. Aune (1998), Beale (1999), Koester (2014), Mounce (1997), Schreiner (2023), and Smalley (2005) all connect the cry with the Psalms, Habakkuk, Zechariah, and Jewish apocalyptic texts such as 1 Enoch and 4 Ezra. "How long?" is the speech of sufferers who know enough about God's character to be scandalized by delay. The question does not arise from unbelief but from covenantal confidence. If God is holy and true, if God judges justly, if God hears the blood of the righteous, then the delay must be brought before God as protest.

This cry is also juridical. Keener (1999) emphasizes the ancient legal background in which a plaintiff must plead a case and in which silence from the judge can function as *de facto* approval of the defendant. The martyrs' cry therefore has forensic urgency. They were condemned by earthly powers. If God does not reverse that judgment, the earthly verdict appears to stand. Mounce (1997) similarly argues that the validity of the martyrs' faith is at issue. The question is not whether the martyrs personally dislike their persecutors; it is whether God will publicly demonstrate that their witness was true.

Aune (1998) greatly expands the background by relating Revelation 6:10 to biblical prayers for vengeance, the cry of Abel's blood, Jewish tomb inscriptions asking God to avenge innocent blood, 1 Enoch 47, 4 Ezra 4, and other Jewish traditions in which the blood of the righteous cries out. Such evidence is invaluable for this article because it shows that

Revelation is not inventing a morbid fascination with vengeance. It is drawing on an established moral grammar: innocent blood has a voice, and God is the one who must answer it. Revelation gives that grammar an explicitly Christological and apocalyptic form. The divine response intensifies the temporal problem. The martyrs receive white robes, but they are not immediately vindicated. They are told to rest “a little while longer” and “until” their fellow servants and siblings are fulfilled. The delay is finite, but it remains real. This is not empty waiting. It is a temporality of witness. The martyrs’ blood has been heard, but the history of witness is not yet complete.

Here the commentary tradition divides in a way that is especially important for this article. Many interpreters understand Revelation 6:11 through the apocalyptic motif of a predetermined number of righteous dead or martyrs. Aune (1998), Mounce (1997), Keener (1999), Schreiner (2023), Beale (1999), Witherington (2003), and Smalley (2005) all relate the passage to traditions such as 1 Enoch 47:3-4, 4 Ezra 4:35-37, and 2 Baruch 23:4-5. In that reading, history waits until a divinely known fullness has been reached. God does not respond late because God is indifferent, but because history has a divinely governed measure.

Koester (2014) and Blount (2013), however, press an important qualification. Revelation 6:11 itself does not explicitly use the word “number.” Koester argues that the better sense may be that the faithful must finish their work of witness rather than that a fixed quota of deaths must be met. Blount likewise focuses on *plēroō*, fulfillment, as the completion of defiant witness. This alternative is significant. It keeps the verse from sounding as though God requires a numerical accumulation of corpses before acting. Yet the two readings are not enemies for the purpose of this article. Whether the emphasis falls on a divinely known fullness or on the completion of witness, the temporality of the passage is not mechanical chronology. It is fullness, completion, mission, and witness.⁵

This is precisely where Revelation 6 becomes fertile for a reading through African time and African eschatological persuasion. The passage’s temporal grammar is not the time of neutral sequence. It is not clock-time. It is event-time charged by blood, testimony, waiting, memory, and divine promise. The martyrs ask “How long?” because the past has not passed. Their deaths continue to inhabit the present. The living continues to witness under the same threat. The future is summoned not by speculation about an apocalyptic calendar but by the unfinished claims of the slain.

The expression “a little while longer” should therefore not be pressed as a literal human interval. Witherington (2003), Mounce (1997), Koester (2014), and Beale (1999) all caution in different ways that visionary or heavenly time cannot simply be mapped onto ordinary time. Yet the phrase still matters. It assures the martyrs that delay is not endless. Their cry is not discarded into divine silence. It is received into the purpose of God.

This reading also clarifies the relationship between rest and protest. The martyrs are told to rest, but their prior cry continues to structure the scene. Rest is not erasure. Rest is not

⁵ This distinction is crucial for the article’s eschatological argument. A predetermined number of martyrs, a completed work of witness, and a fulfilled divine purpose all resist a merely chronological reading. In each case, the time of Revelation 6 is governed by fullness rather than by clock sequence.

resignation. Rest is the divine holding of the dead until justice comes. Koester (2014) connects the rest of the martyrs with divine favor; Beale (1999) and Mounce (1997) connect it with patient endurance; Leithart (2018) describes it as an already-not-yet Sabbath, a rest that is real but not yet full because vindication has not yet occurred. The article does not need to adopt Leithart's larger preterist framework to appreciate the insight: the martyrs rest, but the world has not yet been set right.

Thus Revelation 6:9-11 should not be read only as a unit of chronological eschatology. The temporal force of the passage lies in the experience of delay and the moral persistence of blood. Time here is not a container through which events pass and disappear. Time is wounded by injustice. It is thickened by the dead. It is held open by witness. It presses toward judgment because God cannot be holy and true while the blood of the righteous remains unanswered.

This article names that pressure the unfinished claims of the dead. The phrase does not mean that the dead are restless ghosts needing ritual appeasement. It means that wrongful death creates a moral claim that cannot be resolved merely by the passage of time. In Revelation 6, eschatology begins not with curiosity about the end but with the refusal to let murdered witnesses be absorbed into the past.

Ancient Mediterranean Time and African Time: A Comparative Theoretical Frame

The interpretation of Revelation 6:9-11 depends in part on what one assumes time is. Modern Western readers, shaped by industrial, bureaucratic, and clock-governed cultures, often imagine time as an abstract, measurable continuum. Time is counted, scheduled, managed, and projected. Eschatology then easily becomes a matter of sequence: this age, the intermediate state, tribulation, judgment, millennium, resurrection, new creation. Such sequence is not irrelevant to biblical interpretation, but it can become dominant in ways that flatten apocalyptic time into chronology.

Social-scientific criticism offers a corrective by asking interpreters to attend to the social worlds in which biblical texts emerged. Uwaegbute, Odo, and Ugwu (2021) argue that social-scientific criticism locates New Testament texts within their first-century social world and uses models from the social sciences to understand those texts more responsibly. Their discussion is especially relevant for African biblical interpretation because it presents social-scientific criticism as a way to compare the world of the New Testament and African contexts without collapsing one into the other. The method provides a disciplined bridge: it lets interpreters ask where social structures, values, and temporal assumptions resonate and where they differ.

Bruce Malina's discussion of the "peasant present" remains a useful social-scientific heuristic for eschatological interpretation. Malina (2002) criticizes the unexamined importation of modern categories such as "eschatology" and "apocalyptic" into ancient texts and argues that peasant societies tend to be present-oriented in ways that differ from modern future-driven societies. The model should not, however, be treated as a comprehensive or uniform description of ancient Mediterranean temporality. Recent

scholarship shows both that ancient Mediterranean and Near Eastern societies used diverse, socially embedded practices for marking and organizing time, including short intervals, and that New Testament scholarship increasingly attends to multiple temporalities rather than a single linear scheme (Edsall, 2024; Miller & Symons, 2020). Malina's model is therefore used here in a limited comparative sense: not to deny ancient calendrical precision, future expectation, or apocalyptic hope, but to unsettle the assumption that ancient communities imagined future, history, and agency according to modern Western expectations. Venter (2000), drawing on both Malina and Mbiti, similarly argues that apocalyptic texts such as Daniel are often interpreted through Western concepts of time and that alternative temporal matrices can reorient interpretation.

This social-scientific point converges intriguingly with African temporal discourse. Mbiti's classic account of African time distinguished between *sasa*, the dynamic now-period, and *zamani*, the deep reservoir of time into which events, persons, and memory move (Mbiti, 1971; Venter, 2000). In Mbiti's formulation, time is not primarily an abstract line extending indefinitely into the future. It is actualized by events. Events become real in lived experience, pass into communal memory, and continue to shape the present. The dead remain in the *sasa* as long as they are personally remembered, even as they move toward the deeper *zamani*. For the purposes of this article, the most important element is not the controversial claim that Africans lack a future, but the more fruitful insight that time is eventful, relational, and memory-bearing.

Later African theological and philosophical work both enriches and corrects Mbiti. Cilliers (2018) argues that African temporality should not be reduced to the absence of clocks or to lateness, but should be read in relation to memory, presentness, community, event, movement, and social rhythm. Jaba (2024) likewise revisits Mbiti within wider philosophical debates over relational, objective, and subjective time, while also drawing attention to important criticisms of Mbiti's claim about the near absence of the future in African thought. These sources strengthen the present article because they allow the argument to use Mbiti without becoming captive to Mbiti. The point is not that Africa lacks futurity; the point is that future, past, and present are often experienced through events, relationships, memory, and communal life.

That said, Mbiti must be used critically. Widlok, Knab, and van der Wulp (2021) show that the notion of a homogenized, past-oriented "African time" has largely been abandoned in academic discourse, even though it continues to circulate in popular, policy, and developmental speech. They rightly warn that "African time" can become a stereotype, especially when it is reduced to lateness, lack of planning, or absence of futurity. Cilliers (2018) makes a related but more constructive point by describing African time through the rhythm of social life rather than through a caricature of punctuality. Such warnings are essential. Africa does not possess one temporal ontology. African societies differ linguistically, religiously, politically, and historically. Even within a single society, people may move among ritual time, bureaucratic time, market time, agricultural time, liturgical time, and family time.

Therefore, this article does not claim that African time and biblical time are identical. Nor does it romanticize African temporality as more authentic than Western time. It proposes a more modest and more defensible claim: ancient Mediterranean temporal assumptions and many African temporal orientations share enough event-centered, communal, and present-thick features to place pressure on modern Western readings that treat eschatology primarily as distant chronology. The similarity is heuristic, not essentialist. It is a comparative tool for rereading Revelation 6.

The fifth seal is especially suited to such a rereading because its time is not clock-time. It is martyr-time. Its temporal markers are not dates but cries: "How long?" "a little while longer" "until." Its future is not a speculative endpoint detached from present suffering; it is the divine vindication required by slain witness. Its past is not closed; the martyrs' deaths remain present. Its present is not neutral; it is morally pressured by blood. This is an event-centered temporality. Time is disclosed by what has happened and by what remains unresolved.

African time, critically appropriated, helps make this visible. If time is not merely an abstract container but is thickened by events, memory, kinship, death, and communal obligation, then Revelation 6 can be read as a text in which martyrdom reshapes time itself. The death of the witnesses is not one event among others. It generates eschatological time. Their blood creates a waiting world. Until God vindicates that blood, history remains unfinished. This reading also challenges a common modern contrast between present suffering and future hope. If future hope is imagined only as distant chronology, it can feel abstract to suffering communities. But in Revelation 6, the future is not detached from the present. The future is demanded by the present. The martyrs' cry creates the bridge. God's future judgment is not a remote doctrine floating beyond history; it is the answer required by present and remembered injustice.

The Living-Dead, Ancestral Memory, and the Moral Presence of the Dead

African phenomenological accounts of ancestor veneration provide another lens for interpreting Revelation 6:9-11. Such accounts begin not with the question of whether ancestor veneration is doctrinally acceptable for Christian theology, but with how the practice is experienced, imagined, and lived within African worlds. The distinction is important. A phenomenological approach seeks first to understand the lifeworld: what kind of world is inhabited when the dead remain related to the living, when memory has social force, and when community includes more than the visible present? Only after that descriptive task can comparative and theological evaluation proceed.⁶

In many African contexts, ancestors are not merely deceased relatives. They are the living-dead: those who have died biologically but remain socially and spiritually significant. Nel (2026) summarizes a common view in which ancestors remain alive while remembered and venerated, and in which the visible and invisible worlds are interconnected. The community

⁶ This phenomenological posture does not bracket theological judgment forever. It simply delays judgment long enough to describe how ancestral presence functions within the lived world of communities that practice or negotiate it.

may include the unborn, the living, and the dead. The living dead continue as long as they are remembered, named, honored, or cared for. Death alters relationship; it does not automatically abolish it.

This continuing relationship is not only cognitive remembrance. It can involve naming practices, household memory, ritual acts, family obligation, respect for elders, concern for moral order, and the conviction that the dead remain woven into the identity of the living. Nwafor's Igbo-African comparison of the living-dead with Catholic sainthood is valuable because it shows how ancestral presence can be discussed in relation to communion, memory, and veneration without immediately collapsing every form of honor into worship (Nwafor, 2017). Alubafi and Kaunda's study of embodied ancestors in the Cameroon Western Grassfields likewise shows that ancestral presence may be mediated materially through objects, practices, and communal habits tied to moral action and well-being (Alubafi & Kaunda, 2019). These phenomenological details matter because they thicken the analogy with Revelation 6: the issue is not merely that the martyrs are remembered; it is that their remembered lives exert moral force.

Bae (2004) approaches ancestor worship critically from a Christian theological perspective, arguing that ancestor worship is religious rather than merely social and that it poses a challenge for Christian communities in Korea and Africa. His critique is useful precisely because it keeps the present comparison from becoming vague affirmation. If ancestor veneration involves fear of ancestors, propitiation, mediation that displaces Christ, or communication with the dead in ways incompatible with Christian doctrine, then Revelation 6 cannot simply be used to baptize the practice. The article is not attempting to do that. Its concern is narrower and more phenomenological: African ancestral categories illuminate how the dead may remain morally and communally significant even when their role is not construed as salvific mediation.

Beyers and Mphahlele (2009) show the complexity of African Christian engagement with ancestral categories. Their study of Sotho-speaking Christians describes how some African Christians understand Jesus as Great Ancestor, emphasizing presence, mediation, life, familial belonging, and cultural intelligibility. Yet they also note theological contestation over whether Christ can appropriately be named ancestor. Luka (2024) similarly surveys African ancestor Christology and highlights both its contextual promise and its contested questions around mediation and cosmology. Nwafor (2017), writing from an Igbo-African context, adds another comparative angle by placing the living-dead and Catholic sainthood in conversation, thereby showing how ancestral memory can be discussed without reducing Christian doctrine to traditional religion. These debates confirm that ancestral language is not a simple or uncontroversial tool. It must be used with care.

The careful use proposed here is analogy, not identity. Revelation's martyrs are not ancestors in a full African traditional sense. They are not progenitors of a lineage in the ordinary kinship sense. They are not ritually invoked by the living. They are not asked for protection, fertility, healing, or guidance. They do not mediate salvation. They do not occupy the role Christ occupies in Revelation as Lamb, Lord, faithful witness, and victor. Yet

they do resemble African living-dead in one crucial respect: death has not erased their presence in the community's moral world.

This resemblance makes it possible to speak, cautiously and only by analogy, of the martyrs as eschatologically kin-like dead. If the phrase analogical eschatological ancestors is retained, it must be understood in this restricted sense: the martyrs do not receive cultic veneration, mediate grace, require ritual maintenance, or compete with Christ's mediation. They function as righteous dead whose remembered witness and unresolved suffering shape the community's identity, hope, and demand for justice. They are eschatological because their significance is oriented toward divine judgment and vindication; they are ancestral only analogically because their deaths become part of the community's memory, continuity, and moral formation.⁷

This analogy clarifies the power of the altar scene. In many modern Western imaginaries, the dead are either gone, privatized, or sentimentalized. They are remembered emotionally but may have little public moral force. Revelation 6 refuses such privatization. The dead are not memories stored in the hearts of survivors. They are before God. Their cry has liturgical volume and judicial significance. They are not merely mourned; they accuse. They do not accuse as ghosts haunting the living but as witnesses whose blood makes God the addressee of history.

African ancestral memory helps readers resist the assumption that death automatically removes a person from the social body. In Revelation 6, the slain witnesses remain part of the witnessing community. The living church cannot understand itself apart from them. The future martyrs cannot understand their coming suffering apart from them. Their white robes and rest do not individualize them; they are tied to the completion of the fellow servants and siblings who will be killed as they were. The community spans the dead, the living, and the yet-to-be-slain.

The analogy also illuminates the moral quality of memory. Ancestor veneration is not only about affection for the dead. It is often about order, obligation, identity, and continuity. Alubafi and Kaunda (2019), in a study of embodied ancestors and religious objects in the Cameroon Western Grassfields, connect ancestral presence to moral action and communal well-being. Such material and moral dimensions help readers see that Revelation's altar is not decorative scenery. It is the symbolic place where blood, worship, and justice meet. The martyrs' memory is embodied in the altar's sacrificial imagination.

Yet the analogy must remain disciplined. Revelation does not ask the living to maintain the martyrs through ritual care. The martyrs' presence is grounded not in the community's ritual veneration but in God's remembrance and in the slain witnesses' fidelity to the word of God and testimony. This distinction is theologically decisive. African ancestral categories help interpret the texture of the martyrs' presence, but Revelation's own logic locates that presence in God's court, under God's altar, and within the Lamb's victory.

⁷ The phrase is deliberately qualified. "Analogical" guards against identity; "eschatological" locates the martyrs within Revelation's future-oriented judgment; "ancestors" names their memory-bearing and community-forming role, not cultic mediation.

Therefore, the article's African phenomenological reading is neither syncretistic nor dismissive. It neither imports ancestor veneration wholesale into Revelation nor rejects ancestral categories as unusable. It lets African lifeworlds raise a question that modern Western readings may neglect: What if the dead are not socially past? Revelation 6 answers: the faithful dead are not past. They are witnesses under the altar, and their cry remains part of the church's eschatological consciousness.

African Eschatological Persuasion: Hope, Judgment, and Communal Vindication

African eschatological reflection provides a wider theological frame for this reading. Mbiti's *New Testament Eschatology in an African Background* remains foundational because it explicitly studies the encounter between New Testament eschatology and African traditional concepts (Mbiti, 1971). Mbiti argued that African traditional religions possess rich concepts of death, the spirit world, the living-dead, and communal continuity, even where they do not articulate a Christian-style teleology of final resurrection, judgment, and consummation. His work has been criticized for overgeneralizing African time and understating African futurity, but his central question remains generative: what happens when New Testament eschatology is heard within African worlds shaped by ancestors, community, ritual, and the nearness of the dead?

Bongmba (2018) continues the conversation by arguing that African eschatology must not remain speculative or escapist. He reads the eschatological tradition as a call to transformative praxis, especially where missionary eschatology has ignored local traditions, death, dying, liturgy, and the concrete needs of communities. This is important for Revelation 6 because the martyrs' cry does not invite escape from history. It intensifies accountability within history. The martyrs do not ask when they will leave behind the world that killed them; they ask when God will judge and vindicate their blood in relation to those who dwell upon the earth.

Ekeke and Ekpenyong (2024) also helpfully reject the claim that African traditional religion lacks eschatology. They argue that Christianity and African traditional religion both have eschatological concepts, although they differ in significant ways concerning death, judgment, afterlife, moral accountability, heaven, hell, and destiny. This comparative frame supports the present article's claim that African eschatology is not a blank screen onto which Christian eschatology is projected. It is an already meaningful world of death, continuation, memory, and moral consequence that can converse with Christian apocalyptic hope.

Resane (2025) revisits Mbiti and notes that Mbiti saw African eschatology as real but lacking the teleological fullness of Christian eschatology. Resane calls African theologians to build on *sasa/zamani* in actualizing Christian eschatology from an African perspective. Revelation 6 provides an ideal test case for such actualization. The text is undeniably future-oriented: judgment and vindication are still awaited. Yet the future is not abstract. It is generated by the present moral presence of the dead. The martyrs' cry pulls the future into relation with remembered violence.

An African eschatological reading therefore resists two reductions. First, it resists reducing eschatology to individual destiny after death. Revelation 6 is not primarily concerned with where isolated souls go when they die. It is concerned with the faithful dead as a community of witnesses, their relation to the living and the yet-to-be-killed, and God's judgment upon the world that murders testimony. Second, it resists reducing eschatology to distant chronology. The future matters, but it matters because the present is wounded. Hope is not the denial of pain; it is the refusal to let pain become ultimate.

This communal dimension is central. In Mbiti's broader African anthropology, personhood is deeply embedded in community. Whatever happens to the individual concerns the group, and whatever happens to the group concerns the individual. Revelation 6 displays a similar corporate imagination. The martyrs under the altar are not a collection of private religious achievers. They are servants and siblings. Their future is tied to others. Their vindication waits upon a corporate fullness. The eschatological horizon is communal, not merely personal.

The scene also reconfigures judgment. In some modern theological contexts, judgment is embarrassing, softened into metaphor, or displaced by private consolation. In suffering communities, however, judgment may be indispensable to hope. Without judgment, the martyrs' blood is merely tragic. With judgment, their blood becomes testimony awaiting truth. African eschatological perspectives, attentive to moral order and communal repair, can help recover this. Judgment is not divine cruelty. It is God's refusal to let the world's murders define reality.

This point has pastoral force. Where suffering is daily and survival urgent, distant eschatology can sound hollow. A future that does not answer present wounds may seem irrelevant. Revelation 6 does not solve this by eliminating futurity. It shows that God's future is meaningful precisely because the dead and wounded present demands it. The martyrs' "How long?" makes the future necessary. Eschatology is not a far-off architecture imposed upon suffering; it is the answer summoned by suffering that God refuses to forget. African eschatological persuasion also highlights the nearness of the invisible world. In Mbiti's work, and in many African religious contexts, the visible and invisible are not hermetically sealed. Revelation shares a similar apocalyptic permeability. Heaven is not a distant metaphysical container but the unveiled dimension in which the truth of earthly history is disclosed. The altar scene does not move away from history; it reveals history from God's side. The dead under the altar are therefore not removed from the drama of the churches. They disclose what that drama truly is.

For African Christian theology, the fifth seal offers a disciplined way to affirm the moral presence of the dead without yielding Christological ground. The martyrs remain present, but not as alternative mediators. Their presence is witness. Christ remains the faithful witness, the slaughtered Lamb, and the one through whose victory the saints conquer. The dead who still speak do not replace Christ; their speech is intelligible because they were slain for the word of God and testimony. Their witness participates in his.

Comparison with Certain Modern Western Eschatological Readings

The African temporal and ancestral-memory reading proposed here does not dismiss Western eschatological traditions as a whole. Western theology is internally diverse and includes robust accounts of resurrection, martyrdom, the communion of saints, political hope, liturgical memory, and divine justice. The contrast in this article is narrower and deliberately focused. It concerns certain futurist, individualizing, intermediate-state, and timetable-oriented readings that can reduce Revelation 6:9-11 to questions the text does not foreground. The goal is therefore not to oppose Africa to the West, but to expose how some interpretive habits make the martyrs' cry less central than Revelation itself makes it. One common reading treats the martyrs as conscious souls in heaven awaiting final resurrection. This reading attends to the martyrs' speech, location, and rest. Aune (1998) and Schreiner (2023) represent versions of this reading, while Koester (2014) affirms an interim state but resists calling the soul immortal. Such readings are not illegitimate. Revelation does show the dead speaking, robed, and resting. The problem arises when the conscious-intermediate-state question becomes the main point. The passage then becomes primarily about what the dead are made of or where they are located, rather than what their blood demands.

Another common reading places the fifth seal within an end-times timetable. De Villiers (2017) traces the long reception history of literal and chronological readings of Revelation, showing how eschatological interpretation often becomes bound to political and ideological expectation. The commentary tradition itself reflects apocalyptic concern with sequence: a "little while," a completion, an eventual vindication. Yet Revelation's temporal language is not merely calendrical. The strongest commentators do not simply chart the future. They ask what kind of history the martyrs' cry creates. Beale (1999) places the delay within the already-and-not-yet tension of Revelation. Koester (2014) reads the delay as the continuation of faithful witness. Blount (2013) sees it as the fulfillment of defiant work. These readings are more fertile for the present article because they show that Revelation's time is qualitative and moral, not simply sequential.

A third tendency is to spiritualize the passage into private consolation. The martyrs are safe. They receive robes. They rest. This is true as far as it goes, but if consolation is detached from public justice, it domesticates the text. Revelation does not say only, "They are safe." It says they cry, "How long?" It does not say only, "They are robed." It says their blood awaits vindication. Mounce (1997), Keener (1999), Schreiner (2023), and Smalley (2005) all stress that the cry is for vindication for public justice rather than private revenge. That exegetical point must become theological method: comfort cannot be separated from judgment when the comforted are the murdered righteous.

A fourth tendency is discomfort with divine vengeance. Modern readers, especially those aware of apocalyptic violence's misuse, may wish to mute the cry for judgment. That caution is understandable. Revelation has been misused. Its violent imagery requires careful theological handling. Yet silencing the martyrs' cry can itself become a privileged reading. Blount (2013), drawing attention to Black church and South African liberationist reception, observes that communities enduring oppression may hear in divine judgment not cruelty

but hope. Smalley (2005) similarly argues that Revelation's vengeance language must be understood as the demand that God act justly, not as personal antagonism. The problem is not that the martyrs have failed to love. The problem is that blood has been shed and the world has not yet been made accountable.

The African reading shifts the focus. It does not deny the intermediate state, future judgment, or apocalyptic sequence. It asks what the passage is doing socially, temporally, and communally. It reads the martyrs not as isolated souls but as the dead of a community. It reads their cry not as impatience but as the moral speech of violated witness. It reads delay not as empty postponement but as the time in which witness continues. It reads white robes not as escape from history but as God's provisional declaration that the condemned were faithful.

This approach also helps clarify how Revelation's future-oriented eschatology differs from escapism. In some Western popular eschatologies, the future is imagined as rescue from the earth, evacuation from suffering, or relocation to another world. Revelation's own future is more severe and more concrete. Within the fifth seal itself, the future is demanded by judgment upon the earth-dwellers and vindication for the witnesses. The future is not detached from the earth; it answers the earth's blood. The African temporal and ancestral-memory lens make this harder to miss because it refuses to let the dead become merely private memories. The dead remain part of the moral world until justice comes.

The social-scientific commentary of Malina and Pilch (2000) is useful here as both aid and warning. Their proposal that the righteous dead are located under a celestial Altar in the sky is far more speculative than the present article requires. Yet their social-scientific instinct - that Revelation's imagery belongs to a culturally thick symbolic universe rather than to modern abstract metaphysics - supports the broader methodological point. The task is not to make Revelation less strange. It is to interpret its strangeness with culturally adequate categories.

The Unfinished Claims of the Dead: Eschatological Justice and Public Memory

The constructive contribution of this article can now be stated plainly: Revelation 6:9-11 offers an eschatology of public memory. The slain witnesses remain before God, and because they remain before God, history cannot move on as though their blood were past. The dead are not allowed to disappear into the victorious narratives of their killers. Their cry interrupts the world.

That claim names a moral reality that many communities know intimately. There are deaths that do not end when breathing stops. There are murders that continue to structure families, churches, nations, and histories. There are bodies whose absence remains socially active. There are testimonies that regimes try to bury but that continue to accuse. Revelation 6 gives theological language to this reality. The dead under the altar are not merely remembered by survivors; they are remembered by God. Their blood has a claim. This has postcolonial and liberationist implications, though they should not be forced. Revelation was written under imperial conditions, and its symbolism frequently contests

the claims of empire. De Waal (2021) uses postcolonial categories such as mimicry and hybridity to show how Revelation subverts imperial structures and imagines an alternative reality. Venter (2024) reads Revelation as a critique of imperial politics, religion, and economics. The fifth seal contributes to that critique by revealing that empire's victims are not disposable. The world may call them defeated, but heaven calls them witnesses.

The postcolonial force of the passage lies in its refusal of imperial closure. Empires, colonial regimes, authoritarian states, exploitative economies, and violent religious powers often depend on controlling memory. They name some deaths necessary, some victims invisible, some witnesses criminal, some grief excessive. Revelation 6 resists that control. It relocates memory under the altar of God. The empire does not get the final word on the dead.

This does not mean Revelation 6 supplies a simple political program. The martyrs do not organize revolt from heaven. Their cry does not license human vengeance. Their white robes do not turn them into icons for ideological manipulation. The passage is more searching than that. It insists that justice belongs to God, but it also insists that God's justice is not indifferent to blood. It refuses both retaliation and forgetfulness.

In this way, Revelation 6 can speak to communities marked by political violence, religious persecution, poverty, colonial trauma, displacement, and social death. The reading need not claim that every suffering community is equivalent to Revelation's martyrs. It need only recognize a shared question: What becomes of the dead whose deaths have not been answered? The text replies that they remain before God. They are not lost to the silence imposed by power. Their cry is part of eschatology.

African ancestral memory intensifies this insight. If the dead remain part of the community's moral world, then public memory is not optional. To forget the dead is not merely to lose information; it is to wound the community's continuity. Yet Revelation gives this memory a specifically Christian apocalyptic shape. The faithful dead are not remembered because the living sustain them ritually. They are remembered because God is holy and true. Their claim is not finally against the living descendants who might neglect them, but against the earth-dwellers who shed their blood and against the history that has not yet been judged.

The altar image also gives the reading liturgical depth. The martyrs' cry is not only political protest. It is worshipful protest. They address God according to God's character: sovereign, holy, true. Their demand for vindication arises from theology. If God is holy, then bloodshed matters. If God is true, then false histories cannot stand forever. If God is sovereign, then imperial violence is not ultimate. Their eschatology is therefore not fueled by resentment alone. It is grounded in God's identity.

This has implications for contemporary Christian practice. Churches that read Revelation 6 through this lens may be called to remember the dead truthfully, resist premature reconciliation, refuse narratives that sanctify violence, and hold suffering before God without seizing vengeance for themselves. Such practice would be neither passive nor violent. It would be a disciplined eschatological memory.

The fifth seal also redefines hope. Hope is not optimism about improvement. It is not the therapeutic assurance that everything happens for a reason. It is not escape into a timeless

heaven. Hope is the conviction that the blood of the faithful dead remains before God and will be answered. Such hope can sustain communities precisely because it does not minimize suffering. It names suffering, carries it into worship, and refuses to let it become final.

For African Christian eschatology, this offers a modest contribution. It brings African time and ancestral memory into a focused reading of a biblical text that itself resists modern individualism and chronological abstraction. It shows that ancestor veneration, when critically and analogically handled as a phenomenological lens rather than as a cultic system imported into the text, can illuminate not only Christology but also apocalyptic martyr memory. It also shows that African eschatology need not choose between the present and the future. In Revelation 6, the future is demanded by the present; the present is thick with the dead; and the dead cry toward God's coming judgment.

The article's contribution to Revelation studies is likewise modest but real. It does not claim to overturn the major interpretive debates over the seals. It does not deny traditional concerns with martyrdom, intermediate state, or final judgment. It reframes the fifth seal as an eschatological memory scene in which the faithful dead remain morally present. This reframing helps modern readers hear the text's central pressure: not "what is the timetable?" but "how long can history continue without vindicating the blood it has spilled?"

The final implication is theological. Eschatology is often imagined as a doctrine of what comes last. Revelation 6 suggests that eschatology is also a doctrine of what cannot be forgotten. God's future is not only ahead. It is summoned by the unresolved past and the wounded present. The martyrs under the altar are dead, but their witness still speaks. Their blood is not a relic. It is an eschatological claim.

Conclusions

Revelation 6:9-11 does not allow the dead to become past. The martyrs under the altar remain present through blood, testimony, memory, and divine attention. Their cry, "How long?", is not a request for a date but a protest against unresolved injustice. The answer they receive - white robes, rest, and a little while longer until the fullness of their fellow witnesses is complete - places them within a communal eschatological horizon. They are honored but not yet finally vindicated. They rest, but history remains answerable to their blood.

Read through social-scientific accounts of ancient Mediterranean temporality and African perspectives on event-time, the passage resists being reduced to abstract chronology. Time in Revelation 6 is not merely sequential; it is morally charged. The future is not detached from the present; it is demanded by the present's wounds. The past is not closed; it remains active where the blood of the slain has not been judged. African time, critically appropriated and protected from essentialist misuse, helps readers perceive this eventful and memory-bearing temporality.

African phenomenological accounts of ancestor veneration further illuminate the passage by challenging the modern Western assumption that the dead are socially absent. The martyrs are not ancestors in a full traditional African sense, and the article has not argued that Revelation teaches ancestor veneration. Yet African ancestral memory helps name what Revelation itself portrays: the faithful dead remain morally present. They shape the community's identity, witness, and hope. If one speaks here of analogical eschatological ancestors, the phrase is limited to this memory-bearing and community-forming function; it does not imply cultic veneration, ritual maintenance, independent mediation, or any displacement of Christ.

The article therefore advances African Christian eschatological discourse by showing that hope need not be postponed into abstraction. Revelation 6 presents a future summoned by unresolved witness and remembered blood. It also contributes to Revelation studies by reframing the fifth seal as an eschatological memory scene rather than merely a datum for the intermediate state or a stage in an apocalyptic timetable. The text offers neither retaliation nor forgetfulness. It entrusts judgment to God while refusing to let blood become invisible.

In the end, Revelation 6:9-11 presents an eschatology of the dead who still speak. Their cry is the church's warning against amnesia, its protest against violated witness, and its hope that God will make history true. The slain witnesses do not simply wait for the future; they summon it.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author Contributions

Michael Blythe: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Resources, writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing.

Data Availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

Ethics Approval

Ethical approval was not required because the study is textual-theoretical and did not involve human participants, personal data, animals, or biological materials.

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges multiple including Halissone Nefitala, Daniel Mwailu, and Patrick Kunene, whose reflections on African culture and theological reception helped provoke the questions explored in this article. Any errors remain the author's own.

AI Usage Declaration

The author used ChatGPT (OpenAI, July 2026) to assist with literature organization, drafting support, revision, formatting, and citation/bibliography checks. All AI-assisted outputs were critically reviewed, verified, revised, and approved by the author, who takes full responsibility for the content of this article.

Supplementary Material

No supplementary material accompanies this manuscript.

References

- Alubafi, M. F., & Kaunda, C. J. (2019). Embodied ancestors: Religious objects, moral actions and well-being in the Cameroon Western Grassfields. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 75(1), Article a5174. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v75i1.5174>
- Aune, D. E. (1998). *Revelation 6-16* (Vol. 52B). Word Books.
- Bae, C. S. (2004). Ancestor worship in Korea and Africa: Social function or religious phenomenon? *Verbum et Ecclesia*, 25(2), 338-356. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ve.v25i2.273>
- Beale, G. K. (1999). *The book of Revelation: A commentary on the Greek text*. Eerdmans; Paternoster Press.
- Beyers, J., & Mphahlele, D. N. (2009). Jesus Christ as ancestor: An African Christian understanding. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 65(1), 38-42. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v65i1.132>
- Blount, B. K. (2013). *Revelation: A commentary*. Westminster John Knox Press.
- Bongmba, E. K. (2018). Eschatology in Africa: The imperative of a transformative social praxis. *Svensk Teologisk Kvartalskrift*, 94(4), 245-266. <https://doi.org/10.51619/stk.v94i4.19677>
- Cilliers, J. (2018). The Kairos of karos: Revisiting notions of temporality in Africa. *Stellenbosch Theological Journal*, 4(1), 113-132. <https://doi.org/10.17570/stj.2018.v4n1.a06>
- De Villiers, P. G. R. (2017). Reading the Book of Revelation politically. *Stellenbosch Theological Journal*, 3(2), 339-360. <https://doi.org/10.17570/stj.2017.v3n2.a15>
- De Waal, K. B. (2021). Mimicry and hybridity in the Book of Revelation. *Colloquium*, 53(2), 7-28. https://research.avondale.edu.au/theo_papers/228/
- Ekeke, E. C., & Ekpenyong, E. O. (2024). A comparative study of eschatology in Christianity and African traditional religion. *Verbum et Ecclesia*, 45(1), Article a2958. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ve.v45i1.2958>
- Edsall, B. A. (2024). New temporalities and a temporal (re)turn in New Testament studies? The Cullmann/Bultmann debate revisited. *Harvard Theological Review*, 117(3), 631-654. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017816024000257>
- Fee, G. D. (2011). *Revelation*. Cascade Books.
- Jaba, M. (2024). A comparative analysis of the phenomenology of time in the works of John McTaggart and John Mbiti. *E-Journal of Religious and Theological Studies*, 10(3), 34-44. <https://doi.org/10.38159/erats.20241031>
- Keener, C. S. (1999). *Revelation*. Zondervan.
- Koester, C. R. (2014). *Revelation: A new translation with introduction and commentary* (Vol. 38A). Yale University Press.

- Kuryliak, B., & Kuryliak, I. (2025). The intermediate state: Revelation 6:9-11 and 20:4-6, 13 in the light of Daniel 12:2, 13. *The Biblical Annals*, 15(1), 145-164. <https://doi.org/10.31743/ba.17474>
- Leithart, P. J. (2018). *Revelation* (Vol. 1). Bloomsbury T&T Clark.
- Lichtenwalter, L. L. (2015). "Souls under the altar": The "soul" and related anthropological imagery in John's Apocalypse. *Journal of the Adventist Theological Society*, 26(1), Article 7. <https://digitalcommons.andrews.edu/jats/vol26/iss1/7>
- Luka, R. T. (2024). Jesus Christ as ancestor. In B. N. Wolfe et al. (Eds.), *St Andrews Encyclopaedia of Theology*. University of St Andrews. <https://www.saet.ac.uk/Christianity/JesusChristasAncestor>
- Malina, B. J. (2002). Exegetical eschatology, the peasant present and the final discourse genre: The case of Mark 13. *Biblical Theology Bulletin*, 32(2), 49-59. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014610790203200202>
- Malina, B. J., & Pilch, J. J. (2000). *Social-science commentary on the Book of Revelation*. Fortress Press.
- Mbiti, J. S. (1971). *New Testament eschatology in an African background: A study of the encounter between New Testament theology and African traditional concepts*. Oxford University Press.
- Mihoc, D. (2023). History unveiled: Theological perspectives from St John's Revelation. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 79(1), Article a7897. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v79i1.7897>
- Miller, K. J., & Symons, S. L. (Eds.). (2020). *Down to the hour: Short time in the ancient Mediterranean and Near East*. Brill. <https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004416291>
- Moloney, F. J. (2019). The Book of Revelation: Hope in dark times. *Religions*, 10(4), Article 239. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel10040239>
- Mosoiu, N. V. (2019). "All who desire to live a godly life in Christ Jesus will be persecuted": The meaning of martyrdom in the Book of Revelation. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 75(4), Article a5576. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v75i4.5576>
- Mounce, R. H. (1997). *The book of Revelation* (Rev. ed.). Eerdmans.
- Nel, M. (2026). Ancestors and African Neo-Pentecostals. *African Journal of Pentecostal Studies*, 3(1), Article a71. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ajops.v3i1.71>
- Nwafor, M. I. (2017). The living-dead (ancestors) among the Igbo-African people: An interpretation of Catholic sainthood. *International Journal of Sociology and Anthropology*, 9(4), 35-42. <https://doi.org/10.5897/IJSA2017.0719>
- Resane, K. T. (2025). John Mbiti: An African theologian fifty years and beyond. *Verbum et Ecclesia*, 46(1), Article a3494. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ve.v46i1.3494>
- Schreiner, T. R. (2023). *Revelation*. Baker Academic.
- Seal, D. (2017). Emotions, empathy, and engagement with God in Revelation 6:9-11. *The Expository Times*, 129(3), 112-120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0014524617733927>
- Smalley, S. S. (2005). *The Revelation to John: A commentary on the Greek text of the Apocalypse*. SPCK.
- Uwaegbute, K. I., Odo, D. O., & Ugwu, C. I. (2021). Social-scientific criticism in Nigerian New Testament scholarship. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 77(1), Article a6394. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v77i1.6394>
- Venter, P. M. (2000). Understanding the concept of "time" in Daniel. *Verbum et Ecclesia*, 21(3), 666-681. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ve.v21i3.659>
- Venter, R. (2024). Empire, Trinity, and irony: Rhetoric and the Book of Revelation. *Acta Theologica*, 44(Suppl. 37), 225-249. <https://doi.org/10.38140/at.vi.7937>
- Widlok, T., Knab, J., & van der Wulp, C. (2021). #African time: Making the future legible. *African Studies*, 80(3-4), 397-414. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00020184.2021.1942786>
- Witherington, B., III. (2003). *Revelation*. Cambridge University Press.