

The Politics of Personnel Management in Nigerian Local Governments: Autonomy, Accountability, and Administrative Efficiency

Egbara, Edward A.¹ and Ofodu, Henry I.²

¹Department of Public Administration and Local Government, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.

²Department of Political Science Education, Federal College of Education, Eha-Amufu, Nigeria.

Corresponding author: eddyegbara@yahoo.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62154/qjhcer.2026.023.01018>

Abstract

Since the landmark 1976 Local Government Reforms, Nigeria's local government system has oscillated between demands for greater autonomy and mechanisms of political control. Personnel management, encompassing recruitment, promotion, discipline, and deployment, remains one of the most contested dimensions of this governance structure. This study interrogates the politics of personnel management in Nigerian local governments, focusing on the interplay among autonomy, accountability, and administrative efficiency. Anchored in Weberian Bureaucratic Theory and Principal-Agent Theory, the study adopts a qualitative, theory-driven approach based on documentary analysis of constitutional provisions, reform policies, administrative regulations, and institutional documents. The analysis shows that while local government autonomy is intended to strengthen grassroots governance, weak accountability and persistent political interference have undermined meritocracy, professionalism, and workforce stability. Conversely, excessive external control constrains the managerial discretion required for effective personnel administration. The study argues that administrative efficiency depends on a calibrated balance between institutional autonomy and enforceable accountability mechanisms. It recommends greater administrative discretion for local governments in personnel matters, supported by strengthened accountability and merit-based recruitment and promotion systems. By reconceptualising personnel management as a site of political negotiation rather than a neutral administrative function, the study contributes to debates on local government reform and offers policy insights for strengthening professionalism, accountability, efficiency, and grassroots service delivery.

Keywords: Personnel Management, Local Government Administration, Autonomy, Accountability, Administrative Efficiency.

Introduction

Local government constitutes the third tier of government in Nigeria and is designed to bring governance closer to the people through grassroots development, democratic participation, and service delivery. As the level of government closest to citizens, local governments are expected to provide essential services such as primary healthcare, rural infrastructure, sanitation, and community development. The effectiveness of these responsibilities depends largely on the quality and management of human resources.

Consequently, personnel management occupies a strategic position in local government administration as it governs the recruitment, training, promotion, discipline, and deployment of public employees (Adie & Anam, 2024).

Nigeria's local government system has undergone several reforms aimed at strengthening its administrative capacity and autonomy. A major milestone was the 1976 Local Government Reforms, which sought to establish local governments as viable units of governance with clearly defined responsibilities, improved financial arrangements, and a professional administrative structure. The reforms emphasised merit-based personnel administration as a prerequisite for efficiency and professionalism (Kalu et al., 2025). Despite these objectives, personnel management in local governments has continued to be influenced by political considerations.

The issue of local government autonomy remains central to governance debates in Nigeria. Although the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria recognises local governments as a constitutionally guaranteed tier of government, their operational independence is often constrained by state government control. This has important implications for personnel administration, as decisions relating to recruitment, promotion, and discipline are frequently influenced by state-level political actors and institutions such as the Local Government Service Commissions (Bashir, 2025).

Closely related to autonomy is the principle of accountability, which seeks to ensure transparency, meritocracy, and compliance with civil service regulations in public administration (Ferede, Endawoke & Tessema, 2025). Accountability mechanisms such as administrative regulations, legislative oversight, and auditing processes are intended to promote responsible personnel management. However, political interference in recruitment, promotion, and deployment has often weakened these mechanisms, leading to patronage, favoritism, and declining professionalism within local government administrations (Okegbe & Okechukwu, 2026).

Administrative efficiency is closely linked to the effectiveness of personnel management systems. When staffing decisions are guided by merit and professional standards, local governments are better positioned to deliver services efficiently and maintain institutional stability. Conversely, politically motivated personnel decisions can undermine staff morale, weaken organisational capacity, and reduce public confidence in government institutions. In recent years, concerns over politically influenced recruitment, irregular promotions, and weak accountability structures have heightened debates about the performance of local governments in Nigeria.

Against this background, this paper examines the politics of personnel management in Nigerian local governments, focusing on the interaction between autonomy, accountability, and administrative efficiency. The study seeks to contribute to ongoing discussions on local government reforms by exploring how political dynamics shape personnel administration and influence governance outcomes at the grassroots level.

Statement of the Problem

Local governments are established to serve as effective instruments of grassroots governance, democratic participation, and service delivery. To achieve these objectives, personnel management is expected to be guided by the principles of merit, professionalism, autonomy, and accountability. In an ideal situation, recruitment, promotion, deployment, and disciplinary processes should be conducted in accordance with established civil service rules and free from undue political interference. Such a system would promote administrative efficiency, enhance workforce productivity, and strengthen the capacity of local governments to respond effectively to the needs of local communities. Contrary to this expectation, personnel management in many Nigerian local governments has remained susceptible to political influence and institutional constraints. Despite various reform efforts and constitutional provisions aimed at strengthening local government autonomy, state governments continue to exercise considerable influence over local administrative affairs, including personnel matters. Studies have shown that limited autonomy, weak accountability mechanisms, and political interference often undermine merit-based personnel administration, thereby affecting professionalism, transparency, and service delivery outcomes. Consequently, patronage-based recruitment, irregular promotions, and other politically motivated personnel practices continue to challenge the efficiency and effectiveness of local government administration in Nigeria.

The persistence of these challenges raises important questions about the relationship between autonomy, accountability, and administrative efficiency in local government personnel management. While existing studies have extensively examined local government autonomy and governance reforms, relatively limited attention has been paid to how political dynamics shape personnel administration and its implications for institutional performance. This gap underscores the need for a deeper examination of the politics of personnel management in Nigerian local governments. The study is therefore necessary to provide theoretical and policy insight into how a balance between autonomy and accountability can promote professionalism and enhance administrative efficiency at the grassroots level.

Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this paper is to examine the politics of personnel management in Nigerian local governments. The specific objectives are to:

1. Assess the role of institutional autonomy in shaping personnel administration within local government councils in Nigeria.
2. Examine the effectiveness of accountability mechanisms in regulating personnel management in Nigerian local governments.
3. Determine the implications of politicized personnel management for administrative efficiency and service delivery at the grassroots level.

Research Questions

The following research questions were formulated to guide the study.

1. How does institutional autonomy shape personnel administration within local government councils in Nigeria?
2. How effective are accountability mechanisms in regulating personnel management in Nigerian local governments?
3. How does politicized personnel management affect administrative efficiency and service delivery at the grassroots level?

Literature Review

Conceptual Review

Personnel management is a fundamental aspect of public administration concerned with the acquisition, development, utilization, and maintenance of human resources within public organizations. It encompasses activities such as recruitment, selection, training, promotion, deployment, performance appraisal, compensation, and discipline of employees. According to Xolani (2022), personnel management seeks to ensure that organizations possess competent and motivated employees capable of achieving organizational goals. In the public sector, effective personnel management promotes professionalism, efficiency, and accountability by ensuring that employment decisions are based on merit and competence. Zolak, Gričnik & Šarotar (2025) further contend that a sound personnel system is essential for attracting and retaining qualified personnel required for effective policy implementation and service delivery. However, personnel administration in many developing countries is often challenged by political interference and institutional weaknesses that undermine organizational performance.

Local government administration refers to the structures, processes, and institutions through which governance and development activities are carried out at the grassroots level. It is designed to bring government closer to the people by facilitating democratic participation and delivering essential services such as healthcare, sanitation, local infrastructure, and community development. According to Pillah & Nwobi (2025), local governments serve as instruments for promoting responsive governance and addressing local needs through decentralized administration. In Nigeria, local government administration gained prominence following the 1976 Local Government Reforms, which sought to establish local governments as viable units of governance. Despite these reforms, scholars such as Aluya (2025) observe that local governments continue to face challenges relating to inadequate resources, institutional weaknesses, and political interference, which limit their capacity to effectively discharge their constitutional responsibilities.

Local government autonomy refers to the degree of independence enjoyed by local governments in managing their political, administrative, and financial affairs without undue interference from higher levels of government. It is regarded as a critical component of decentralization because it enables local authorities to formulate policies and allocate resources in line with local priorities and developmental needs. Neebani (2026) argue that

autonomy enhances local government effectiveness by allowing local institutions to exercise discretion in decision-making and personnel administration. However, the issue of local government autonomy remains contentious in Nigeria due to the extensive control exercised by state governments over local government finances and administrative affairs. Makama & Ayema (2026) notes that such control often limits the capacity of local governments to function independently thereby undermine their ability to promote grassroots development and effective service delivery.

Accountability is a fundamental principle of public sector management that requires public officials and institutions to explain, justify, and take responsibility for their actions and decisions. It serves as a mechanism for ensuring transparency, responsiveness, and adherence to established rules and standards in governance. Fan (2024) defines accountability as a relationship in which public actors are obliged to provide information and justification regarding their conduct to oversight bodies capable of evaluating their performance. In public administration, accountability is promoted through mechanisms such as legislative oversight, auditing systems, administrative controls, and public scrutiny. According to Oni, Adenuga & Dele-Dada (2025), effective accountability systems help prevent corruption, discourage abuse of power, and strengthen public confidence in government institutions. Consequently, accountability remains indispensable for ensuring integrity and efficiency in public sector administration.

The politics of personnel management refers to the influence of political interests, power relations, and actors on decisions concerning the recruitment, promotion, deployment, and discipline of public sector employees. Although personnel administration is expected to be guided by merit and neutrality, public organisations often operate within political environments where administrative decisions are shaped by competing interests (Oluwole, Eze & Mkavga, 2026). Mari & Tanimu (2025) argues that personnel management in public institutions is frequently influenced by political considerations because political actors seek to control administrative structures and resources. In many developing countries, including Nigeria, political interference often manifests through patronage appointments, favoritism, and politically motivated promotions, such practices undermine professionalism, weaken institutional capacity, and reduce organizational effectiveness. As a result, personnel management becomes a contested arena where administrative objectives and political interests frequently intersect.

Administrative efficiency refers to the ability of public institutions to utilize available human, financial, and material resources effectively in achieving organizational objectives and delivering public services. It emphasizes the optimal use of resources to maximize productivity while minimizing waste, delays, and operational costs (Zolak, Gričnik & Šarotar, 2025). Moreno-Menéndez et al. (2026) view administrative efficiency as a key indicator of organizational performance because it reflects the extent to which institutions can achieve desired outcomes through effective coordination and management. In local government administration, efficiency is closely linked to the quality of personnel management, accountability systems, and institutional autonomy. Maiyaki, Cletus & Saleh

(2025) argue that efficient public institutions are characterized by competent personnel, transparent administrative processes, and effective resource management. Conversely, political interference, weak accountability mechanisms, and poor personnel practices can significantly undermine administrative efficiency and service delivery outcomes.

Empirical Review

The empirical review presents a thematically synthesised and comparative analysis of previous studies on institutional autonomy, accountability mechanism, and the effect of politicized personnel management on administrative efficiency and service delivery in Nigeria local governments.

Institutional Autonomy and Personnel Administration in Nigerian Local Governments

Anayochukwu et al. (2022) conducted research on Assessment of Local Government Autonomy and Governance: A Case Study in Nigeria. The study adopted a quantitative survey design and administered 300 questionnaires to staff of Nkanu-West Local Government Area of Enugu State, of which 276 were returned, representing a 92% response rate. The study revealed that constitutional provisions and intergovernmental arrangements created conditions that enabled state governments to exercise substantial influence over local government affairs. Importantly for the present study, the study noted that personnel autonomy is an essential component of local government autonomy because the ability to independently manage recruitment, promotion, and discipline is fundamental to institutional independence. Compared with the current study, the study focused broadly on autonomy and governance, whereas the present study specifically examines how institutional autonomy shapes personnel administration, thereby giving greater attention to recruitment, promotion, deployment, and disciplinary control as personnel-management dimensions of autonomy.

Imhanlahimi and Ikeanyibe (2022) carried a study titled A Review of Nigerian Government's Regulatory Efforts to Enhance Local Government Autonomy. Unlike the previous study, their work adopted a review-based approach, drawing extensively on constitutional, legal, and administrative provisions governing local government. The study found that several checks and balances had been established to enhance local government autonomy, but that many of these provisions and guidelines were poorly respected by higher levels of government. The authors therefore concluded that the existence of formal autonomy provisions does not necessarily translate into substantive autonomy in practice. The present study builds on this insight but moves specifically into the personnel-management dimension of autonomy, examining how the actual distribution of authority between state and local institutions affects personnel decisions and administrative effectiveness.

Accountability Mechanisms and Personnel Management in Nigerian Local Governments

Osinupebi and Adejuwon (2024) in their study titled "The Effect of Local Government Autonomy and Accountability Challenges in Lagos State, Nigeria," examined the relationship between local government autonomy, accountability mechanisms, and local government development. The study adopted an exploratory research design and focused on the interaction between autonomy and accountability within the local government system, particularly in the context of renewed national debates on local government autonomy. The study identified accountability as an important institutional mechanism for ensuring that increased local government discretion is accompanied by responsible management of public resources and authority. The study is relevant to the present research because it establishes the importance of accountability in the functioning of autonomous local governments. However, its principal concern was local government development and the broader autonomy-accountability relationship, whereas the present study specifically examines the effectiveness of accountability mechanisms in regulating personnel management, including recruitment, promotion, deployment and discipline.

Gong et al. (2026) carried research titled "Internal Control Challenges and Local Government Effectiveness in Nigeria: Evidence from Riyom Local Government Area." The study adopted a quantitative survey design, collecting 62 valid responses from 69 questionnaires distributed, and analyzed the data using descriptive statistics, correlation, and multiple regression through SPSS. The findings showed that management override and political interference significantly affected internal control effectiveness, with management override emerging as the strongest predictor; the model explained 52.6% of the variation in internal control effectiveness. The study concluded that political and managerial distortions substantially weaken accountability and control mechanisms at the local government level. While the study provides useful evidence on the vulnerability of local government accountability mechanisms to political interference, it focuses principally on internal controls and organizational effectiveness. The present study extends this line of inquiry by examining accountability specifically as a mechanism for regulating personnel management, thereby connecting accountability more directly to staffing decisions and administrative professionalism.

Politicized Personnel Management, Administrative Efficiency and Service Delivery

Anayochukwu and Ani (2021) examined "Issues of Political Interference on Local Government Personnel Management in Nkanu-West Local Government Area of Enugu State." The study adopted a quantitative research design and distributed 360 questionnaires to local government staff, of which 336 were returned, representing a 93% response rate. Data were analyzed using frequency tables and mean statistics. The study found that political interference had contributed to the politicization of appointments, placements, promotions, and other human resource management activities. It further found that political interference denied local governments administrative autonomy over personnel

management and consequently undermined the effective performance of personnel functions. The study is particularly close to the present research because both examine political influence over local government personnel management. However, while Anayochukwu and Ani focused mainly on identifying political interference and its effects on personnel functions in one local government area, the present study takes a broader analytical approach by examining how politicized personnel management affects administrative efficiency and grassroots service delivery.

Bashir (2025), in his study titled "The Impact of Politicization of State Government on Personnel Management of Local Government Staff in Nigeria," examined how state government politicization affects personnel management within Nigerian local governments. The study adopted a quantitative survey design and used simple random sampling to select respondents from different local government departments. Data were collected through a structured Likert-scale questionnaire and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). The study found that state government politicization was associated with inadequate staffing, insufficient training, and the appointment of unqualified personnel, which consequently contributed to poor administrative performance and inefficient service delivery. The study recommended constitutional review and clearer delineation of intergovernmental responsibilities, particularly in relation to the employment, selection, and posting of local government personnel. The present study agrees with Bashir's findings regarding the adverse consequences of political control over personnel administration but differs in approach and scope. Whereas Bashir empirically tests the effect of state government politicization through a quantitative survey, the present study adopts a qualitative, theory-driven approach to interrogate the underlying political and institutional relationships through which personnel politicization affects administrative efficiency and service delivery.

Gap in Literature

The reviewed studies have contributed significantly to understanding local government autonomy, accountability, personnel administration, and organisational performance in Nigeria. However, most of the studies examined these variables independently. Limited attention has been given to the interconnected relationship between autonomy, accountability, and administrative efficiency within the context of personnel management. Furthermore, existing studies are largely empirical and tend to focus on specific states or local government areas. There remains a need for a broader theoretical examination of how political dynamics shape personnel management and influence administrative outcomes in Nigerian local governments. This study seeks to fill this gap by providing a qualitative analysis of the politics of personnel management and its implications for autonomy, accountability, and administrative efficiency.

Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded on Weberian Bureaucratic Theory developed by Max Weber in 1922 and Principal-Agent Theory developed by Michael Jensen and William Meckling in 1976.

Weberian Bureaucratic Theory

The Weberian Bureaucratic Theory was developed by Max Weber and was popularized in his seminal work *Economy and Society*, published in 1922. Weber conceived bureaucracy as the most rational and efficient form of organizing large-scale administrative activities. The theory views organizations as systems governed by formal rules, hierarchical authority, specialization of duties, impersonality, and merit-based recruitment and promotion. Weber argued that administrative efficiency is enhanced when officials are selected based on competence and professional qualifications rather than political or personal considerations. The major tenets of the theory include hierarchy of authority, division of labour, formal rules and procedures, impersonality in decision-making, merit-based recruitment, and career advancement based on technical competence. The theory emphasizes professionalism, accountability, and administrative neutrality as prerequisites for organizational efficiency.

Principal-Agent Theory

Principal-Agent Theory was developed by Michael Jensen and William Meckling in 1976. The theory explains the relationship between a principal, who delegates authority, and an agent, who performs tasks on behalf of the principal. It assumes that both parties may have different interests and that agents may not always act in the best interests of principals unless appropriate monitoring and accountability mechanisms are in place. The theory therefore emphasizes oversight, monitoring, incentives, and accountability as means of reducing conflicts of interest and ensuring goal attainment.

The major tenets of the theory include delegation of authority, information asymmetry, conflicting interests between principals and agents, monitoring mechanisms, accountability structures, and performance incentives. The theory maintains that effective governance requires adequate supervision and accountability systems to ensure that agents act in accordance with organizational objectives.

Application of the Theories to the Study

The Weberian Bureaucratic Theory is relevant to this study because it emphasizes merit-based personnel management, professionalism, and administrative neutrality, which are essential for achieving efficiency in local government administration. The theory helps explain how political interference in recruitment, promotion, and deployment can undermine professionalism and administrative efficiency in Nigerian local governments. Similarly, Principal-Agent Theory provides a useful framework for understanding the relationship between local government officials (agents) and oversight institutions such as state governments and local government service commissions (principals). The theory

explains the importance of accountability mechanisms in ensuring responsible personnel administration while highlighting the risks associated with excessive political control. Together, the two theories provide a comprehensive framework for examining how autonomy and accountability influence personnel management and administrative efficiency in Nigerian local governments.

Materials and Methods

This study adopts a qualitative research design to examine the politics of personnel management in Nigerian local governments, with particular emphasis on autonomy, accountability, and administrative efficiency. The qualitative approach is considered appropriate because it facilitates an in-depth understanding of institutional processes, governance dynamics, and policy issues that cannot be adequately captured through quantitative methods. Qualitative research is widely recognised as suitable for public administration studies that seek to explore administrative practices, governance structures, and policy outcomes.

The study relies primarily on documentary research as the method of data collection. Relevant data were obtained from secondary sources, including constitutional provisions, government reports, local government reform documents, policy papers, scholarly journal articles, textbooks, conference papers, and other official publications relating to local government administration and personnel management in Nigeria. Documentary analysis is particularly useful in public administration research because it enables the examination of institutional frameworks, administrative practices, and governance processes through existing records and documented evidence.)

Data generated from these sources were analyzed using thematic content analysis. This involved identifying, categorizing, and interpreting recurring themes relating to personnel management, local government autonomy, accountability mechanisms, political interference, and administrative efficiency. The thematic approach facilitated a systematic examination of patterns and relationships among the key variables of the study, thereby enabling the researcher to draw meaningful conclusions and policy implications.

Findings and Discussion

This section presents the findings from the documentary analysis of constitutional provisions, local government reform documents, public service policy documents, administrative regulations, and relevant scholarly studies on personnel management in Nigerian local governments. The analysis was guided by the study's central concern with the relationship between autonomy, accountability, and administrative efficiency. Rather than relying on primary survey responses, the findings were derived from the interpretation and comparison of documentary evidence, particularly the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, the Guidelines for Local Government Reform of 1976, the National Strategy for Public Service Reform (NSPSR), and related administrative and policy

documents. The findings are discussed thematically and interpreted through Weberian Bureaucratic Theory and Principal–Agent Theory.

Institutional Autonomy and Personnel Administration in Nigerian Local Governments

The documentary evidence reveals a continuing tension between the formal recognition of local government as a distinct level of government and the extent of its practical control over personnel administration. The 1999 Constitution, under Section 7(1), guarantees the system of democratically elected local government councils and requires each State Government to provide for their establishment, structure, composition, finance and functions under state law. The Fourth Schedule further assigns local government councils a range of grassroots responsibilities, including environmental sanitation, roads, markets, public conveniences and other community services. These constitutional provisions establish local government as an important institutional mechanism for grassroots administration, but they do not confer unrestricted administrative autonomy over personnel matters.

The 1976 Guidelines for Local Government Reform provide stronger evidence of the original reform intention. The reform sought to decentralise significant state functions and give local councils substantial control over local affairs, staff and institutional resources so that they could initiate and direct services according to local needs. At the same time, the reform framework introduced institutional arrangements for managing local government personnel through Local Government Service Commissions. Documentary evidence on the post-1976 structure indicates that these commissions were designed to establish uniform standards for appointments, promotion and discipline and to protect professional personnel from local political pressures (Agboola & Aliu, (2026).

The finding, therefore, is that personnel autonomy in Nigerian local government has historically been partial rather than absolute. While these arrangements are intended to ensure uniformity and accountability, they frequently limit the discretion of local authorities in managing their workforce (Anayochukwu et al., 2022). From the perspective of Weberian Bureaucratic Theory, the establishment of formal recruitment, promotion and disciplinary procedures represents an attempt to institutionalise merit, hierarchy and professional administration. However, where political actors influence these processes outside established rules, the bureaucratic principle of impersonal and rule-based administration is weakened. Scholars have also argued that effective personnel administration requires a reasonable degree of administrative autonomy to enable local governments recruit competent personnel, allocate human resources efficiently, and respond promptly to local governance challenges (Makama & Ayema, 2026). Where such autonomy exists, personnel decisions are more likely to reflect organizational needs rather than external political considerations.

The analysis therefore suggests that the central problem is not simply the absence of autonomy, but the uneven distribution of personnel authority between local councils, state institutions and political actors. As Onaji, Myom & Ugba (2025) observe, local governments

that lack administrative autonomy often struggle to build institutional capacity and sustain effective service delivery. The documentary evidence consequently supports the argument that meaningful local government reform requires a clearer balance between local administrative discretion and mechanisms that protect professional personnel management from political manipulation.

Accountability Mechanisms and the Regulation of Personnel Management in Nigerian Local Governments

Accountability mechanisms are essential for ensuring that personnel administration within local governments is conducted in accordance with established rules, ethical standards, and principles of good governance. The constitutional framework, administrative regulations, and public-sector reform policies provide standards relating to recruitment, promotion, discipline, financial control, and responsible exercise of public authority. In the Nigerian local government system, accountability is promoted through a variety of institutional arrangements, including civil service regulations, auditing procedures, legislative oversight, and the activities of Local Government Service Commissions. These mechanisms are designed to ensure transparency in recruitment, fairness in promotion, and discipline in personnel administration. The National Strategy for Public Service Reform (NSPSR) (2023) also identifies professionalism, accountability, human-resource management, and improved service delivery as important components of public-service reform. These provisions demonstrate that accountability is formally embedded in the institutional framework of Nigerian public administration.

Despite the existence of these accountability structures, their effectiveness in regulating personnel management within Nigerian local governments remains questionable. Evidence suggests that accountability mechanisms are often weakened by political interference, inadequate enforcement, and institutional constraints. Oti & Otalor (2024) found that while local governments possess formal accountability structures, the practical implementation of these mechanisms is frequently undermined by limited transparency and weak institutional oversight. Similarly, Kolawole (2024) observed that deficiencies in internal control systems and oversight arrangements create opportunities for irregular personnel practices and administrative inefficiencies. However, the documentary evidence suggests a gap between the existence of accountability mechanisms and their effective implementation at the local government level. Koko et al. (2022), in their analysis of the legal, fiscal, and political context of Nigerian local government, found that extensive state-government supervision and control have significantly constrained local governments. Their analysis is important to the present finding because excessive external control can weaken the capacity of local institutions to exercise responsibility for their own administrative decisions, while simultaneously creating opportunities for political influence over local government affairs.

This finding is also consistent with Principal-Agent Theory. Local government personnel operate within multiple relationships of delegation involving elected officials,

administrative authorities, state-level institutions, and citizens. Accountability mechanisms are therefore expected to monitor delegated authority and ensure compliance with established rules. However, where monitoring institutions are themselves subject to political influence, accountability may become selective rather than impartial. Thus, the problem is not simply the absence of accountability mechanisms but weaknesses in their independence, consistency, and enforcement.

The documentary evidence therefore suggests that effective personnel accountability requires more than formal regulations. It requires transparent procedures, effective monitoring, enforceable disciplinary standards, accurate personnel records, and institutional safeguards against political interference. Accountability should consequently function as a mechanism for protecting merit and professionalism rather than as an instrument for extending political control over local government personnel.

Politicized Personnel Management, Administrative Efficiency, and Grassroots Service Delivery

One of the most persistent challenges confronting local government administration in Nigeria is the politicization of personnel management. The 1976 Local Government Reform Guidelines envisaged local government as a viable administrative institution capable of responding effectively to grassroots needs, while subsequent public-service reforms have emphasised professionalism, competence, human-resource development, and improved service delivery. The problem arises when recruitment, promotion, deployment, or discipline are influenced by political considerations rather than competence and established administrative procedures.

The relationship between personnel quality and service delivery is supported by Bello and Mackson (2022), who, in their conceptual review based on secondary sources, found that poor service delivery at the local government level is associated with inadequate competent and qualified personnel, institutional weaknesses, and deficiencies in accountability and transparency. They further emphasised capacity building and the availability of competent personnel as important conditions for effective local government service delivery. This supports the present documentary finding that personnel management is not merely an internal administrative concern but a major determinant of local government performance. The political dimension becomes clearer when considered alongside Muhammad Koko et al. (2022), who found that extensive state-government supervision and control have constrained local government capacity and contributed to continuing demands for greater autonomy. Where political authorities exercise excessive influence over administrative personnel decisions, the principles of merit, competence, and professional neutrality may be displaced by considerations of loyalty and patronage. Such practices can weaken staff morale, institutional continuity, administrative predictability, and ultimately organisational efficiency.

From the perspective of Weberian Bureaucratic Theory, administrative efficiency depends on competence, clearly defined responsibilities, hierarchy, and adherence to formal rules.

Politicisation of routine personnel decisions weakens these principles by blurring the boundary between legitimate political direction and professional administration. Principal-Agent Theory similarly suggests that political authorities should exercise oversight without unnecessarily interfering in the operational functions of administrative agents.

The implication for grassroots service delivery is significant. Local governments are constitutionally assigned responsibilities relating to roads, sanitation, refuse disposal, markets, public conveniences, and other community-level services. Where personnel are inadequately selected, poorly motivated, or appointed on political rather than professional considerations, the administrative capacity required to perform these functions is weakened. The evidence therefore indicates that competent and professionally managed personnel constitute an important link between local government autonomy, administrative efficiency, accountability, and effective grassroots service delivery.

The overall finding is that politicised personnel management extends beyond individual appointments or promotions. It can affect workforce quality, institutional memory, employee motivation, administrative continuity, and the capacity of local governments to deliver services. Consequently, personnel reform should remain a central component of the broader local government reform agenda. The study is limited by its documentary, non-fieldwork design, as it relies on existing constitutional, policy, administrative, and scholarly documents rather than direct field data. Consequently, the findings may not fully capture the experiences and perceptions of local government personnel.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion

The study examined the politics of personnel management in Nigerian local governments with a focus on the interplay between institutional autonomy, accountability, and administrative efficiency. The analysis revealed that personnel administration within local governments is significantly influenced by the degree of autonomy available to local councils and the effectiveness of existing accountability mechanisms. While autonomy is necessary for responsive and efficient personnel administration, accountability remains essential for ensuring transparency, professionalism, and adherence to established administrative standards. The study further established that persistent political interference in personnel matters undermines meritocracy, weakens institutional capacity, and negatively affects service delivery at the grassroots level. Therefore, achieving administrative efficiency in Nigerian local governments requires a balanced framework that promotes both institutional autonomy and effective accountability in personnel management.

Recommendations

1. Government should implement reforms that grant local government's greater administrative discretion in personnel matters, particularly in the areas of

recruitment, promotion, deployment, and discipline, while minimizing undue political interference from external actors.

2. Existing accountability frameworks, including Local Government Service Commissions, internal audit units, and legislative oversight mechanisms, should be strengthened through stricter enforcement of regulations, improved transparency, and regular monitoring of personnel management processes.
3. Local governments should adopt and consistently enforce merit-based recruitment, promotion, and performance evaluation systems to promote professionalism, improve workforce quality, enhance administrative efficiency, and strengthen service delivery at the grassroots level.

References

- Adie, H. I., & Anam, B. E. (2024). Assessing the effectiveness of local government administration in service delivery in the Southern Senatorial District of Cross River State. *International Journal of Advanced Studies in Economics and Public Sector Management*, 12(1), 463–476. <https://doi.org/10.48028/ijprds/ijasepsm.v12.i1.27>
- Agboola, I. T., & Aliu, Z. A. (2026). Decentralization and service delivery: Evaluating the efficiency of local government administration in Southwest Nigeria. *Journal of Contemporary Issues and Thought*, 16(1), 60–67. <https://ejournal.upsi.edu.my/JCIT/article/download/12658/6560/65908>
- Aluya, S. (2025). Autonomy of local governments in Nigeria: Issues and way forward. *Journal of Political Science and Leadership Research*, 11(11), 37–62. <https://doi.org/10.56201/jpslr.vol.11.no11.2025.pg37.62>
- Anayochukwu, G. I., Ani, V. A., & Nsah, B. (2022). Assessment of local government autonomy and governance: A case study in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 6(4), 1–11. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:248108837>
- Bashir, U. (2025). The impact of politicization of state government on personnel management of local government staff in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 8(12), 4715–4725. <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2024.8120397>
- Bello, M. F., & Mackson, A. M. (2022). Competent and qualified personnel and service delivery at the local government level in Nigeria: A conceptual review. *Journal of Public Administration Studies*, 7(2), 25–36. <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.jpas.2022.007.02.5>
- Bureau of Public Service Reforms. (2023). *National strategy for public service reform (NSPSR): Revised 2023 edition*. Federal Government of Nigeria. <https://bpsr.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/NSPSR-Policy-Review-Document.pdf>
- Federal Republic of Nigeria. (1976). *Guidelines for local government reform*. Government Printer. <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=926145>
- Federal Republic of Nigeria. (1999). *Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended)*. Federal Government of Nigeria. <https://faolex.fao.org/docs/pdf/nig164561.pdf>
- Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2009). *Financial regulations (revised to January 2009)*. Federal Government Printer. <https://oagf.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/Financial-Regulations-and-Rules.pdf>
- Ferede, W. L., Endawoke, Y., & Tessema, G. (2025). Assessment of meritocracy, accountability, and public service reforms in Ethiopia: Evidence from public organizations in the Northwest Region. *Cogent Business & Management*, 12(1), 2492388. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2025.2492388>
- Gong, C. D., Salvation, J. S., Deshi, N. N., Timkat, N. P., & Markus, S. G. (2026). Internal control challenges and local government effectiveness in Nigeria: Evidence from Riyom Local Government Area.

- African Journal of Research and Management Sciences*, 6(1).
<https://journals.plasu.edu.ng/index.php/ajorms/article/view/219>
- Imhanlahimi, J. E., & Ikeanyibe, M. O. (2022). A review of Nigerian government's regulatory efforts to enhance local government autonomy. *University of Nigeria Journal of Political Economy*, 3(1 & 2).
<https://www.unjpe.com/index.php/UNJPE/article/view/153>
- Kalu, P., Okpata, F. O., Owa, E. O., & Nwankwo, E. C. (2025). Administration of the local government in Nigeria: Interrogating the 1976 Local Government Reforms. *Social Science and Law Journal of Policy Review and Development Strategies*, 11(1), 228–244.
<https://doi.org/10.48028/iiprds/ssljprds.v11.i1.17>
- Kolawole, D. K. (2024). Internal audit, internal controls, and fiscal discipline: Empirical insights from strengthening control systems as drivers of financial accountability in Nigerian local governments. *Journal of Management and Accounting (J-MACC)*, 7(1), 183–197. <https://e-jurnal.unisda.ac.id/index.php/J-MACC/article/download/11778/4319>
- Maiyaki, A. T., Cletus, O. M., & Saleh, Y. G. (2025). Effect of personnel management and organizational performance in Taraba State Civil Service Commission, Taraba State, Nigeria. *Research Journal of Management Practice*, 5(6), 57–71.
<https://www.openjournals.ijaar.org/index.php/rjmp/article/view/1533>
- Makama, Y. I., & Ayema, S. (2026). Local government administration, primary health care delivery and infrastructural development in Lafia Local Government Area, Nasarawa State. *Impact International Journals and Publications*, 2(1), 1687–1701
<https://impactinternationaljournals.com/publications/index.php/ojs/article/view/356>
- Mari, P. Y., & Tanimu, A. K. (2025). Political patronage and policy implementation in Nigerian public service. *University of Nigeria Journal of Political Economy*, 15(2), 1–23.
<https://www.unjpe.com/index.php/UNJPE/article/download/312/270>
- Moreno-Menéndez, F. M., Tapia-Silguera, R. D., González-Prida, V., Sánchez-Guzmán, C. R., Via-Rada-Vittes, J. F., Sánchez-Mattos, W. A., Poma-Lagos, L. A., & Gutiérrez-Meza, F. P. (2026). Exploring organizational commitment as a driver of administrative management in local public institutions: Insights from a low- and middle-income country governance context. *Administrative Sciences*, 16(2), Article 94. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci16020094>
- Muhammad Koko, N., Mohd Tayeb, A., & Razali, S. Z. (2022). Local government system in Nigeria: Legal, fiscal and political overview. *EDUCATUM Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(2), 23–31.
<https://doi.org/10.37134/ejoss.vol8.2.3.2022>
- Neebani, E. N. B. (2026). Local government autonomy and intergovernmental relations: Implications for grassroots governance and democratic accountability in Nigeria. *Global Academic Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 8(3), 51–63. <https://doi.org/10.36348/gajhss.2026.v08i03.001>
- Office of the Accountant General of the Federation. (2021). *Federal government public service rules, 2021 edition*. <https://oagf.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/The-Reviced-Public-Service-Ruled-PSR-2021.pdf>
- Okegbe, O., & Okechukwu, F. C. (2026). Improving local government human resource system: An empirical analysis of hiring processes and administrative performance in Enugu State, Nigeria. *International Academy Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Annals*, 4(1), 14–30.
<https://arcnjournals.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/02/29600756300412.pdf>
- Oluwasanmi, A. C. (2025). Human capital challenges, local government autonomy and effective service delivery in local government system in Nigeria. *British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies*, 12(1), 231–244. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:278675538>
- Oluwole, M. U., Eze, D. E., & Mkavga, S. (2026). Relationship between politics of human resource management and teachers' job performance in public secondary schools in Benue State, Nigeria.

- International Journal of Innovative Social Sciences & Humanities Research*, 14(2), 273–280. <https://www.seahipublications.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/04/IJISSHR-J-27-2026.pdf>
- Onaji, M. A., Myom, D. T., & Ugba, V. A. (2025). The influence of local government autonomy on effective service delivery in Benue State, Nigeria. *Journal of Innovative Social Sciences & Humanities Research*, 10(6), 102–112. <https://doi.org/10.70382/hujisshr.v10i6.026>
- Oni, S., Adenuga, A. E., & Dele-Dada, M. P. (2025). Legislative oversight and government accountability: Implications for good governance in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 9(11), 2516–2528. <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.91100202>
- Osinupebi, B. D., & Adejuwon, J. A. (2024). The effect of local government autonomy and accountability challenges in Lagos State, Nigeria. *UNIBEN Journal of Accounting*, 7(2), 120–135. <https://www.ubja.com.ng/archive/volume-7-issue-2/120-135>
- Oti, P. A., & Otor, J. I. (2024). Transparency and accountability in Nigeria's public sector: Local government fiscal autonomy in focus. *Gusau International Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 7(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.57233/gijmss.v7i1.01>
- Pillah, T. P., & Nwobi, P. A. (2025). Local government administrative systems and the relevance of traditional rulership in Nigeria: A study of the structure, election and administration of the Sultan of Sokoto, Oni of Ife, Obi of Onisha, Tor Tiv and Shehu of Borno, Lamido of Adamawa. *IRASS Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 2(10), 5–27. <https://irasspublisher.com/assets/articles/1759652435.pdf>
- Xolani, T., Nokukhanya, N. J., Toyin, C. A., Mlambo, V. H., & Nkosingiphile, M. (2022). Performance management systems (PMS): Challenges and opportunities in the public sector. *Journal of Public Administration Studies*, 7(3), 41–49. <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.jpas.2022.007.03.5>
- Zolak Poilasevic, B., Gričnik, A. M., & Šarotar Žižek, S. (2025). Human resource management in public administration: The ongoing tension between reform requirements and resistance to change. *Administrative Sciences*, 15(3), Article 94. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15030094>